

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
Clarksburg**

TRAE HAKEEM CRANDELL,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION No. 1:21-CV-63
Judge Bailey

R. HUDGINS, Complex Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 16] be granted and petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] be denied and dismissed without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. ***Thomas v. Arn***, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. ***United***

States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A *de novo* review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 21] is **AFFIRMED**, petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 16] is **GRANTED**. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **STRIKE** the above-styled case from the active docket of this court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and to mail a copy to the *pro se* petitioner.

DATED: February 8, 2022.



JOHN PRESTON BAILEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE